

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

| | | |
|--------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| KEVIN LYNN FERNANDEZ, |) | 3:06-cv-00628-LRH (WGC) |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | <u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u> |
| |) | |
| vs. |) | April 30, 2012 |
| |) | |
| STATE OF NEVADA, et al., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |
| _____ |) | |

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE OGDEN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

On March 28, 2012, Plaintiff filed a Motion to Increase Copy Fee Limit. (Doc. # 630.) Plaintiff seeks to increase his NDOC copy work limit to an amount up to and including \$1,000.00. (*Id.*) Plaintiff asserts that he has reached his copy work limit and needs an increase in order to proceed with the remainder of this case. (*Id.*) Plaintiff requests an increase of \$50.00 in order to copy reply briefs, file a motion for injunction and exhibits. (*Id.*) Plaintiff asserts that he will need an additional \$200 for his appeal. (*Id.*)

Defendants have filed a response. (Doc. # 636.) Defendants observe that in general, indigent inmates are given up to \$100 in copy credit for legal purposes, which Plaintiff has already exceeded by \$650.00. (*Id.*)

The court agrees with Defendants that insofar as an appeal is concerned, the Ninth Circuit will need to determine any proposed increase in Plaintiff's copy work limit. With respect to the remainder of this case at the district court level, the court finds that an increase in the copy work limit of **\$25.00**, or approximately 250 copies, is reasonable. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion (Doc. # 630) is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/
Deputy Clerk